NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

# Guitè Satats $\mathfrak{C o u r t}$ of $\mathfrak{A p p e a l s}$ for the Jfereral Circuit 

IN RE: CELLECT, LLC, Appellant

2022-1293, 2022-1294, 2022-1295, 2022-1296

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. 90/014,453, 90/014,454, 90/014,455, 90/014,457.

## ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

Before Moore, Chief Judge, Lourie, Dyk, Prost, Reyna, Taranto, Chen, Hughes, Stoll, Cunningham, and Stark, Circuit Judges.

## Per Curiam. ${ }^{1}$

## O R D E R

Cellect, LLC filed a petition for rehearing en banc. A response to the petition was invited by the court and filed by Katherine K. Vidal.

Robert Allen Armitage, American Intellectual Property Law Association, AbbVie Inc. and Innovation Alliance,

[^0]Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America, Biocom California and Biotechnology Innovation Organization, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Intellectual Property Owners Association, Language Technologies, Inc., Parus Holdings, Inc. and Robocast, Inc., Amgen Inc., Association of University Technology Managers, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Johnson \& Johnson, Merck Sharp \& Dohme, LLC and Novo Nordisk Inc, New York Intellectual Property Law Association, and Inari Agriculture, Inc. requested leave to file briefs as amici curiae which the court granted.

The petition was first referred as a petition to the panel that heard the appeal, and thereafter the petition was referred to the circuit judges who are in regular active service.

Upon consideration thereof,
It Is Ordered That:
The petition for panel rehearing is denied.
The petition for rehearing en banc is denied.
The mandate of the court will issue January 26, 2024.

For the Court


January 19, 2024
Date

Jarrett B. Perlow
Clerk of Court


[^0]:    1 Circuit Judge Newman did not participate.

